

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	09/552,147	KOROL ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>
	Anne R. Kubelik	1638

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** allowed

(1) Anne R. Kubelik. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Sheridan Neimark. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 15 June 2004

**Time:** 2:00 pm

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

*all*

Prior art documents discussed:


**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Applicant approved the proposed examiner's amendments, asked the Examiner to make a statement refleciting her opinion that the amendments do not limit the scope of the claims*

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)